Private Computation on Encrypted Genomic Data

Michael Naehrig Cryptography Research Group Microsoft Research

Joint work with Adriana Lopez-Alt (New York University) and Kristin Lauter (MSR)

Workshop on Genome Privacy 2014 Amsterdam, 15 July 2014

Encrypt everything?

- Protect outsourced data by encrypting everything
- "Conventional" encryption methods do not allow any computation on the encrypted data without using the secret key and decrypting it
- Homomorphic encryption schemes allow specific operations on encrypted data with only public information

Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)

FHE enables unlimited computation on encrypted data

• Public operations on ciphertexts:





Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)

FHE enables unlimited computation on encrypted data

• Public operations on ciphertexts:

- For data encrypted bitwise $(m_1, m_2 \in \{0,1\})$, operations $m_1 + m_2$ and $m_1 \cdot m_2$ are bitwise (XOR and AND)
- Get arbitrary operations via binary circuits.

A possible scenario for genomic data?

Untrusted cloud service, stores and computes on encrypted data



of certain Requests encrypted results of specific computation

Requests for decryption of certain results

This might not be a solution to your problem!

Trusted party,

hosts data and

regulates access

Here's the caveat

- FHE schemes do exist!
- BUT FHE on binary circuits with bitwise encryption is extremely inefficient:
 - huge ciphertexts,
 - costly noise handling,
 - large overhead in storage space and computation time

Ways to slightly ease the pain

- Pack more data into ciphertexts
- Use so-called leveled homomorphic schemes
- Use arithmetic circuits and restrict to computations with low multiplicative depth

This comes at a cost: restrictions on the type of computations that can be done!

Homomorphic Encryption from RLWE

• Uses polynomial rings as plaintext and ciphertext spaces

$$R = \mathbf{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1), n = 2^k$$

Example:
$$n = 8$$

 $a_1 = 2x^7 + x^5 - 11x^4 + x^2 + 5x + 7$
 $a_2 = x^6 - 4x^5 - 3x^3 + 12x^2 + 3$
 $a_1 = 2x^7 + x^5 - 11x^4 + x^2 + 5x + 7$
 $a_2 = x^6 - 4x^5 - 3x^3 + 12x^2 + 5x + 7$
 $a_1 + a_2 = 2x^7 + x^6 - 3x^5 - 11x^4 - 3x^3 + 13x^2 + 5x + 10$
 $a_1 \cdot a_2 = 52x^7 - 145x^6 - 30x^5 - 28x^4 + 38x^3 + 108x^2 - 53x + 23$

Homomorphic Encryption from RLWE

• Uses polynomial rings as plaintext and ciphertext spaces

$$R = \mathbf{Z}[X]/(X^n + 1), n = 2^k$$

- Work with polynomials in R modulo some $q \in \mathbf{Z}$
- Homomorphic operations (+/*) correspond to polynomial operations (add/mult) in R
- **+** is relatively efficient, **×** is costly
- Use this structure to encode and work with your data

Homomorphic Encryption from RLWE

• Encode an integer $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ as a polynomial $m \in R$ with m(2) = z.

Example:
$$n = 8$$

 $z = 13, (z)_2 = 1101$
Use the polynomial
 $m_{13} = x^3 + x^2 + 1$
 $z = 11, (z)_2 = 1011$
 $m_{13} = x^3 + x + 1$
Addition
 $m_{13} + m_{11} = 2x^3 + x^2 + x + 2$
 $(m_{13} + m_{11})(2) = 2 \cdot 8 + 4 + 2 + 2 = 24$
Multiplication
 $m_{13} \cdot m_{11}$
 $= x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 3 \cdot x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
 $(m_{13} \cdot m_{11})(2)$
 $= 64 + 32 + 16 + 3 \cdot 8 + 4 + 2 + 1 = 143$

HE Performance

80-bit security

- Parameter set I: n = 4096, $q \approx 2^{192}$, ciphertext ≈ 100 KB
- Parameter set II: n = 8192, $q \approx 2^{384}$, ciphertext ≈ 400 KB

Operation	KeyGen	Encrypt	Add	Mult	Decrypt
Parameters I	3.6s	0.3s	0.001s	0.05s	0.04s
Parameters II	18.1s	0.8s	0.003s	0.24s	0.26s

Proof-of-concept implementation: computer algebra system Magma, Intel Core i7 @ 3.1GHz, 64-bit Windows 8.1

Encoding and encrypting of genotype data



Computing genotype counts



- Only homomorphic additions
- Cost linear in size of data sample





Pearson goodness-of-fit test

Tests for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, i.e. whether allele frequencies are statistically independent

$$p_{AA} = p_{A'}^2, p_{Aa} = 2p_A p_{a'}, p_{aa} = p_a^2$$

•
$$p_{AA} = \frac{N_{AA}}{N}$$
, $p_{Aa} = \frac{N_{Aa}}{N}$, $p_{aa} = \frac{N_{aa}}{N}$

• Observed counts: N_{AA}, N_{Aa}, N_{aa},

$$p_A = \frac{2N_{AA} + N_{Aa}}{2N}, \, p_a = 1 - p_A$$

• Expected counts: $E_{AA} = Np_A^2$, $E_{Aa} = 2Np_Ap_a$, $E_{aa} = Np_a^2$

Pearson goodness-of-fit test

• Compute the X^2 test statistic

$$X^{2} = \frac{(N_{AA} - E_{AA})^{2}}{E_{AA}} + \frac{(N_{Aa} - E_{Aa})^{2}}{E_{Aa}} + \frac{(N_{aa} - E_{aa})^{2}}{E_{aa}}$$

- Problem: Arithmetic circuits over *R* do not allow divisions
- Rewrite the formula to avoid divisions

Modified algorithm

It turns out that

$$X^2 = \frac{\alpha}{2N} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} + \frac{1}{\beta_3} \right),$$

where

$$\alpha = (4N_{AA}N_{aa} - N_{Aa}^2)^2, \qquad \beta_1 = 2(2N_{AA} + N_{Aa})^2,$$

$$\beta_2 = (2N_{AA} + N_{Aa})(2N_{aa} + N_{Aa}), \quad \beta_3 = 2(2N_{aa} + N_{Aa})^2$$

- Return encryptions of values α , β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , N
- *X*² is computed after decryption

Other algorithms

Other than the Pearson test for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we implemented:

- Estimation Maximization for haplotyping (EM), 1,2,3 iterations,
- Test for Linkage Disequilibrium (LD),
- Cochran-Armitage Test for Trend (CATT), case control studies.

Genetic algorithm performance

80-bit security

- Parameter set I: n = 4096, $q \approx 2^{192}$, ciphertext ≈ 100 KB
- Parameter set II: n = 8192, $q \approx 2^{384}$, ciphertext ≈ 400 KB

Algorithm	Pearson	EM (iterations)			LD	CATT
		1	2	3		
Parameters I	0.3s	0.6s	1.1s	-	0.2s	1.0s
Parameters II	1.4s	2.3s	4.5s	6.9s	0.7s	3.6s

Proof-of-concept implementation: computer algebra system Magma, Intel Core i7 @ 3.1GHz, 64-bit Windows 8.1

Private Computation on Encrypted Genomic Data

Michael Naehrig Cryptography Research Group Microsoft Research

Joint work with Adriana Lopez-Alt (New York University) and Kristin Lauter (MSR)

Workshop on Genome Privacy 2014 Amsterdam, 15 July 2014