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## Pairings on elliptic curves

parameter selection and efficient computation

Three parts:

- Pairings and pairing-friendly curves,
- an optimal ate pairing on BN curves using the polynomial parametrization,
- affine coordinates for pairing computation at high security levels.


## The embedding degree

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (of characteristic $p$ ) and

- $n=\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=q+1-t, \quad|t| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}$,
- $r \mid n$ a large prime divisor of $n(r \neq p, r \geq \sqrt{q})$.

The embedding degree of $E$ with respect to $r$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ with

$$
r \mid q^{k}-1
$$

Then

- $k$ is the order of $q$ modulo $r$,
- $r$-th roots of unity $\mu_{r} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}{ }^{*}$,
- for $k>1, E[r] \subseteq E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$.


## The Tate pairing

The Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{r}: E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)[r] \times E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right) /[r] E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{*} /\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{*}\right)^{r}, \\
\left(P, Q+[r] E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)\right) & \mapsto
\end{aligned} f_{r, P}\left(\mathcal{D}_{Q}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{*}\right)^{r} .
$$

is a non-degenerate, bilinear map, where

- $f_{r, P}$ is a function with divisor $\left(f_{r, P}\right)=r(P)-r(\mathcal{O})$,
- $\mathcal{D}_{Q} \sim(Q)-(\mathcal{O})$ has support disjoint from $\{\mathcal{O}, P\}$.

Assume $k>1$, can use the reduced Tate pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{r}: E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[r] \times E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)[r] & \rightarrow \mu_{r}, \\
(P, Q) & \mapsto f_{r, P}(Q)^{\frac{q^{k}-1}{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing Miller functions

To compute $f_{m, P}(Q), m \in \mathbb{Z}$, with Miller's algorithm use

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2 i, P}(Q) & =f_{i, P}(Q)^{2} \frac{l_{[i] P,[i] P}(Q)}{v_{[2 i] P}(Q)}, \\
f_{i \pm 1, P}(Q) & =f_{i, P}(Q) \frac{l_{[i] P, \pm P}(Q)}{v_{[i \pm 1] P}(Q)}
\end{aligned}
$$



- square-\&-multiply-like loop,
- evaluate at $Q$ on the fly,
- update with fraction of line functions,
- on Edwards curves, use fraction of quadratic and line functions.

Computations are in $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{*}$.


## Common group choices, Tate and ate pairing

Arguments usually restricted to groups

- $G_{1}=E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)[r] \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{q}-[1]\right)=E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)[r]$,
- $G_{2}=E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right)[r] \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\phi_{q}-[q]\right)$.

Get mainly two variants:

- reduced Tate pairing

$$
t_{r}: G_{1} \times G_{2} \rightarrow G_{3},(P, Q) \mapsto f_{r, P}(Q)^{\frac{q^{k}-1}{r}}
$$

- ate pairing $(T=t-1, \log (T) \lesssim \log (r) / 2)$

$$
a_{T}: G_{2} \times G_{1} \rightarrow G_{3},(Q, P) \mapsto f_{T, Q}(P)^{\frac{q^{k}-1}{r}} .
$$

Has more efficient variants: optimal ate pairings that are computed from some $f_{m, Q}(P)$ with $\log (m) \approx \log (r) / \varphi(k)$.

## Using a twist to represent $G_{2}$

Let $p>5$ and $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$.
Here: A twist $E^{\prime}$ of $E$ is a curve isomorphic to $E$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$.

- A twist is given by

$$
E^{\prime}: y^{2}=x^{3}+\left(a / \omega^{4}\right) x+\left(b / \omega^{6}\right), \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{*}
$$

with isomorphism $\psi: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E,\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(\omega^{2} x^{\prime}, \omega^{3} y^{\prime}\right)$.

- If $E^{\prime}$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k} / d}$ for $d \mid k$, and $\psi$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ and no smaller field, $d$ is called the degree of $E^{\prime}$.
- Possible twist degrees: can have $d=2, d=4$ (for $b=0$ only), $d=3$ and $d=6$ (both for $a=0$ only).
- Let $d_{0}=6$ if $a=0$, let $d_{0}=4$ if $b=0$, and $d_{0}=2$ otherwise.

Then there exists a unique twist $E^{\prime}$ of degree $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{0}, k\right)$ with $r \mid \# E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k} / d}\right)$.

## Using a twist to represent $G_{2}$

Let $E^{\prime}$ be the unique twist of degree $d$ with $r \mid \# E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / d}}\right)$.

- Let $G_{2}^{\prime}=E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / d}}\right)[r]$, then $\psi: G_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is a group isomorphism,
- if $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / d}}(\omega), \psi$ is very convenient,
- points in $G_{2}$ almost have coefficients in subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / d}}$.



## Minimal requirements for security

- $k$ should be small, but DLPs must be hard enough.

| Security level (bits) | EC base point order $r$ (bits) | Extension field size of $q^{k}$ (bits) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ratio } \\ \rho \cdot k \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NIST | ECRYPT | NIST | ECRYPT |
| 80 | 160 | 1024 | 1248 | 6.4 | 7.8 |
| 112 | 224 | 2048 | 2432 | 9.1 | 10.9 |
| 128 | 256 | 3072 | 3248 | 12.0 | 12.7 |
| 192 | 384 | 7680 | 7936 | 20.0 | 20.7 |
| 256 | 512 | 15360 | 15424 | 30.0 | 30.1 |

NIST/ECRYPT II recommendations
The $\rho$-value of $E$ is defined as $\rho=\log (q) / \log (r)$.


## Balanced security

Do not want to waste recources, so balance the security as much as possible.

- If $\rho$ is too large, $q$ is larger than necessary.

- If $\rho k$ is too large, $q^{k}$ is larger than necessary.
- If $\rho k$ is too small, $r$ is larger than necessary.



## Pairing-friendly curves

Supersingular curves have small embedding degree ( $k \leq 6$, large char $p>3: k \leq 2$ only).

To find ordinary curves with small embedding degree:
Fix $k$ and find primes $r, p$ and an integer $n$ with the following conditions:

- $n=p+1-t,|t| \leq 2 \sqrt{p}$,
- $r \mid n$,
- $r \mid p^{k}-1$,
- $t^{2}-4 p=D v^{2}<0, D, v \in \mathbb{Z}, D<0,|D|$ small enough to compute the Hilbert class polynomial for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.
Given such parameters, a corresponding elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ can be constructed using the CM method.


## Pairing-friendly curve construction methods

Freeman, Scott, Teske: A taxonomy of pairing-friendly elliptic curves

| security | construction | curve | $k$ | $\rho$ | $\rho k$ | $d$ | $k / d$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | BN (Ex. 6.8) | $a=0$ | 12 | 1.00 | 12 | 6 | 2 |
|  | Ex. 6.10 | $b=0$ | 8 | 1.50 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
|  | Freeman (5.3) | $a, b \neq 0$ | 10 | 1.00 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
|  | Constr. 6.7+ | $a, b \neq 0$ | 12 | 1.75 | 21 | 2 | 6 |
| 192 | KSS (Ex. 6.12) | $a=0$ | 18 | 1.33 | 24 | 6 | 3 |
|  | KSS (Ex. 6.11) | $b=0$ | 16 | 1.25 | 20 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Constr. 6.3+ | $a, b \neq 0$ | 14 | 1.50 | 21 | 2 | 7 |
|  | Constr. 6.6 | $a=0$ | 24 | 1.25 | 30 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Constr. 6.4 | $b=0$ | 28 | 1.33 | 37 | 4 | 7 |
|  | Constr. 6.24+ | $a, b \neq 0$ | 26 | 1.17 | 30 | 2 | 13 |

## BN curves

(Barreto-N., 2005)

If $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=p(u)=36 u^{4}+36 u^{3}+24 u^{2}+6 u+1 \text {, } \\
& n=n(u)=36 u^{4}+36 u^{3}+18 u^{2}+6 u+1
\end{aligned}
$$

are both prime, then there exists an ordinary elliptic curve

- with equation $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+b, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$,
- $r=n=\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is prime, i. e. $\rho \approx 1$,
- the embedding degree is $k=12$,
- $t(u)^{2}-4 p(u)=-3\left(6 u^{2}+4 u+1\right)^{2}$,
- there exists a twist $E^{\prime}: y^{2}=x^{3}+b / \xi$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ of degree 6 with $n \mid \# E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$.


## BN curves

(Barreto-N., 2005)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p=p(u) & =36 u^{4}+36 u^{3}+24 u^{2}+6 u+1 \\
n=n(u) & =36 u^{4}+36 u^{3}+18 u^{2}+6 u+1 \\
E: y^{2} & =x^{3}+b \\
E^{\prime}: y^{2} & =x^{3}+b / \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can represent $G_{2}$ by $G_{2}^{\prime}=E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)[n]$.

- Replace all points $R \in G_{2}$ by $R^{\prime} \in G_{2}^{\prime}$ via $R=\psi\left(R^{\prime}\right)$,
- curve arithmetic over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ instead of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{12}}$,
- represent field extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ using $\xi$

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 j}}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}[X] /\left(X^{j}-\xi\right), \quad j \in\{2,3,6\}
$$

## An optimal ate pairing on BN curves

Input: $P \in G_{1}=E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right), Q=\psi\left(Q^{\prime}\right), Q^{\prime} \in G_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$,

$$
m=6 u+2=\left(1, m_{s-1}, \ldots, m_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{NAF}} .
$$

Output: $a_{\text {opt }}(Q, P)$.
1: $R \leftarrow Q, f \leftarrow 1$
2: for $(i \leftarrow s-1 ; i \geq 0 ; i--)$ do
3: $\quad f \leftarrow f^{2} \cdot l_{R, R}(P), R \leftarrow[2] R$
4: if $\left(m_{i}= \pm 1\right)$ then
5: $\quad f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R, \pm Q}(P), R \leftarrow R \pm Q$
6: end if
7: end for
8: if $u<0$ then
9: $\quad f \leftarrow 1 / f, R \leftarrow-R$
10: end if
11: $Q_{1}=\phi_{p}(Q), Q_{2}=\phi_{p^{2}}(Q)$
12: $f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R, Q_{1}}(P), R \leftarrow R+Q_{1}$
13: $f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R,-Q_{2}}(P), R \leftarrow R-Q_{2}$
14: $f \leftarrow f^{p^{6}-1}$
15: $f \leftarrow f^{p^{2}+1}$
16: $f \leftarrow f^{\left(p^{4}-p^{2}+1\right) / n}$
17: return $f$

## An optimal ate pairing on BN curves

Input: $P \in G_{1}=E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right), Q=\psi\left(Q^{\prime}\right), Q^{\prime} \in G_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$,

$$
m=6 u+2=\left(1, m_{s-1}, \ldots, m_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{NAF}} .
$$

Output: $a_{\text {opt }}(Q, P)$.
1: $R \leftarrow Q, f \leftarrow 1$
2: for $(i \leftarrow s-1 ; i \geq 0 ; i--)$ do
3: $\quad f \leftarrow f^{2} \cdot l_{R, R}(P), R \leftarrow[2] R$
4: if $\left(m_{i}= \pm 1\right)$ then
5: $\quad f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R, \pm Q}(P), R \leftarrow R \pm Q$
6: end if
7: end for
8: if $u<0$ then
9: $\quad f \leftarrow 1 / f, R \leftarrow-R$
10: end if
11: $Q_{1}=\phi_{p}(Q), Q_{2}=\phi_{p^{2}}(Q)$
12: $f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R, Q_{1}}(P), R \leftarrow R+Q_{1}$
13: $f \leftarrow f \cdot l_{R,-Q_{2}}(P), R \leftarrow R-Q_{2}$
14: $f \leftarrow f^{p^{6}-1}$
15: $f \leftarrow f^{p^{2}+1}$
16: $f \leftarrow f^{\left(p^{4}-p^{2}+1\right) / n}$
17: return $f$

## The importance of suitable curve parameters

The best performance is obtained by choosing

- $6 u+2$ as sparse as possible,
- $u$ sparse or with a good addition chain,
- $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}=\mathbb{F}_{p}(i), i^{2}=-1$,
- $\xi$ as "small" as possible to make field extension arithmetic more efficient.
One should also consider non-pairing operations:
- elliptic curve scalar multiplication,
- square root and cube root computation.

Constrained devices might not even need to compute pairings in certain pairing-based protocols.

- In some scenarios, pairings on Edwards curves could be the best choice.


## Implementation-friendly BN curves

joint work with P. Barreto, G. Pereira, M. Simplicío

## Theorem

Given a $B N$ curve $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+b$ with $b=N(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, then the sextic twist $E^{\prime}: y^{2}=x^{3}+b / \xi$ satisfies $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \mid \# E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$.

Suggestions for choosing BN curves:

- Choose low-weight $u$ s.t.
- $6 u+2$ has low weight, and s.t.
- $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, i.e. $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}=\mathbb{F}_{p}(i), i^{2}=-1$,
- choose "small" $\xi=c^{2}+i d^{3}$, s.t. $b=c^{4}+d^{6}$ is small,
- get obvious simple generator $P=\left(-d^{2}, c^{2}\right)$ of $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$,
- and point $P^{\prime}=(-i d, c) \in E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$, that (almost) always gives a generator $Q^{\prime}=[h] P^{\prime}$ of $E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)[n]$, where $\# E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)=h n$.


## Implementation-friendly BN curves

Example curve:

$$
u=-\left(2^{62}+2^{55}+1\right), c=1, d=1
$$

Then

- $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$,
- $p$ has 254 bits,
- $6 u+2$ has NAF-weight 5 ,
- $E: y^{2}=x^{3}+2, P=(-1,1)$,
- $\xi=1+i$,
- $E^{\prime}: y^{2}=x^{3}+(1-i), Q^{\prime}=[h](-i, 1)$.
http://eprint.iacr.org/2010/429


## Modular multiplication

Using the polynomial representation

- The pairing algorithm can be improved in all parts by improving arithmetic in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
- Can the polynomial shape

$$
p=36 u^{4}+36 u^{3}+24 u^{2}+6 u+1
$$

be used to speed up multiplication modulo $p$ ?

- Fan, Vercauteren, Verbauwhede (CHES 2009) demonstrate this for hardware with $u=2^{l}+s, s$ small.
- What about software?


## Using the polynomial representation

 joint work with P. Schwabe and R. Niederhagen, inspired by Dan Bernstein's Curve25519 paper- Consider the ring $R=\mathbb{Z}[x] \cap \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[\sqrt{6} u x]$ and the element

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =36 u^{4} x^{4}+36 u^{3} x^{3}+24 u^{2} x^{2}+6 u x+1 \\
& =(\sqrt{6} u x)^{4}+\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{6} u x)^{3}+4(\sqrt{6} u x)^{2}+\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{6} u x)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $P(1)=p$.

- Represent $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ as a polynomial $F \in R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =f_{0}+f_{1} \cdot \sqrt{6}(\sqrt{6} u x)+f_{2} \cdot(\sqrt{6} u x)^{2}+f_{3} \cdot \sqrt{6}(\sqrt{6} u x)^{3} \\
& =f_{0}+f_{1} \cdot(6 u) x+f_{2} \cdot\left(6 u^{2}\right) x^{2}+f_{3} \cdot\left(36 u^{3}\right) x^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $F(1)=f$.

- $f$ corresponds to coefficient vector $\left[f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right], f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$.


## Polynomial multiplication and degree reduction

- Polynomial multiplication of $f$ and $g$ gives polynomial with 7 coefficients.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \cdot g & =h_{0}+h_{1} \cdot(6 u) x+h_{2} \cdot\left(6 u^{2}\right) x^{2}+h_{3} \cdot\left(36 u^{3}\right) x^{3} \\
& +h_{4} \cdot\left(36 u^{4}\right) x^{4}+h_{5} \cdot\left(216 u^{5}\right) x^{5}+h_{6} \cdot\left(216 u^{6}\right) x^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Reduce modulo $P$ using

$$
\left(36 u^{4}\right) x^{4}=-\left(36 u^{3}\right) x^{3}-4\left(6 u^{2}\right) x^{2}-(6 u) x-1 .
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3} \\
h_{4} \\
h_{5} \\
h_{6}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{2}-h_{6} \\
h_{3}-h_{6} \\
h_{4}-4 h_{6} \\
h_{5}-h_{6} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow \cdots\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{0}-h_{4}+6 h_{5}-2 h_{6} \\
h_{1}-h_{4}+5 h_{5}-h_{6} \\
h_{2}-4 h_{4}+18 h_{5}-3 h_{6} \\
h_{3}-h_{4}+2 h_{5}+h_{6} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Four coefficients are not enough

- 256-bit numbers in 4 coefficients: Each coefficient 64 bits, small multiples in the reduction are larger than 128 bits.
- Easy to realize in hardware, not in software, for software we need more coefficients.
- Idea: Consider $u=v^{3}$, use 12 coefficients $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{11}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & f_{0}+6 v f_{1} x+6 v^{2} f_{2} x^{2}+6 v^{3} f_{3} x^{3}+6 v^{4} f_{4} x^{4} \\
& +6 v^{5} f_{5} x^{5}+6 v^{6} f_{6} x^{6}+36 v^{7} f_{7} x^{7}+36 v^{8} f_{8} x^{8} \\
& +36 v^{9} f_{9} x^{9}+36 v_{10} f_{10} x^{10}+36 v^{11} f_{11} x^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$v$ has about 21 bits, product coefficients have about 42 bits.

- Double-precision floats have 53-bit mantissa.
- Use double-precision floats, still some space to add up coefficients and compute small multiples.


## Reducing coefficients

- At some point the coefficients will overflow (become larger than 53 bits)
- Need to do coefficient reduction (carry)
- Carry from $f_{0}$ to $f_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \leftarrow \operatorname{round}\left(f_{0} / 6 v\right) \\
& f_{0} \leftarrow f_{0}-c \cdot 6 v \\
& f_{1} \leftarrow f_{1}+c
\end{aligned}
$$

- Carry from $f_{1}$ to $f_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \leftarrow \operatorname{round}\left(f_{1} / v\right) \\
& f_{1} \leftarrow f_{1}-c \cdot v \\
& f_{2} \leftarrow f_{2}+c
\end{aligned}
$$

- $f_{0} \in[-3 v, 3 v], f_{1} \in[-v / 2, v / 2]$
- Carry from $f_{11}$ goes to $f_{0}, f_{3}, f_{6}$, and $f_{9}$


## Implementation on a Core 2 processor

- Use fast vector instructions mulpd and addpd, 2 multiplications/ 2 additions in one instruction, 1 mulpd and 1 addpd (and one mov) per cycle.
- Problem: $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ arithmetic requires a lot of shuffeling, combining etc., Solution: Implement arithmetic in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$.
- Use schoolbook multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}: 4$ mults. in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, squaring in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ : 2 multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
- Perform $2 \mathbb{F}_{p}$ multiplications in parallel using vector instructions.
- Only two $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ polynomial reductions and two coefficient reductions per multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ (also SIMD).
- To decide where to do a reduction, detect overflows, perform arithmetic on values and in parallel on worst-case values.


## Performance results

- On an Intel Core 2 Quad Q6600 (65 nm): 4,134,643 cycles
- Comparison: Fastest published pairing benchmark (on one core) before: 10,000,000 cycles on a Core 2 by Hankerson, Menezes, Scott, 2008, Unpublished: 7,850,000 cyc on Core 2 T5500 (Scott 2010).
- New paper by Beuchat, González Díaz, Mitsunari, Okamoto, Rodríguez-Henríquez, and Teruya (Pairing 2010) claims: 2,330,000 cycles on a Core i7, 2,950,000 cycles on a Core 2 with Visual Studio 2008.

Cycle counts on a Core 2 Q6600 with gcc-4.3.3

|  | dclxvi | $[B G M+10]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\sim 585$ | $\sim 588$ |
| squaring in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\sim 359$ | $\sim 487$ |
| optimal ate pairing | $\sim 4,135,000$ | $\sim 3,269,000$ |

## Why is our software slower?

[ $B G M+10]$ uses Montgomery arithmetic in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and fast $64 \times 64$-bit integer multiplier.

## Three reasons why we are slower

1. Restricted choice of $u=v^{3}$ : need more operations in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$.
2. Additional coefficient reductions take quite a bit of time.
3. Multiplication is not (much) faster.

## Why is our multiplication not faster?

- Always need to perform even number of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ multiplications, have to use schoolbook instead of Karatsuba in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, 4 instead of 3 multiplications in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
- Using vector instructions still requires quite some shuffeling, overhead: 60 cycles per $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ multiplication.


## But still...

- Fastest (current) implementation based on double-precision floating-point arithmetic,
- exploits special $p$,
- on Intel (and AMD) processors: integer-based approach (with Montgomery arithmetic) is faster
- But: several architectures have much faster double-precision floating-point than integer arithmetic.

Paper: http://cryptojedi.org/users/peter/\#dclxvi Software: http://cryptojedi.org/crypto/\#dclxvi (public domain)

## Affine coordinates for pairings?

joint work with K. Lauter, P. Montgomery

- Choose coordinate system for elliptic curve point operations and line function computation,
- projective coordinates avoid inversions by doing more of the other operations.

Galbraith (2005): "One can use projective coordinates for the operations in $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. The performance analysis depends on the relative costs of inversion to multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_{q} \ldots$. and experiments show that affine coordinates are faster."

- Finite field inversion in prime field very expensive,
- for plain ECC over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ : projective always better,
- current speed records for pairings: projective formulas.


## Extension field inversions

Quadratic extension:

- $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^{2}=\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$,

$$
\frac{1}{b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha}=\frac{b_{0}-b_{1} \alpha}{b_{0}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \omega}=\frac{b_{0}}{b_{0}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \omega}-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \omega} \alpha
$$

- $b_{0}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \omega=N\left(b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$,
- compute inversion in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ by inversion in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and some other operations

$$
\mathbf{I}_{q^{2}} \leq \mathbf{I}_{q}+2 \mathbf{M}_{q}+2 \mathbf{S}_{q}+\mathbf{M}_{(\omega)}+\mathbf{s u b}_{q}+\mathbf{n e g}_{q}
$$

- Assume $\mathbf{M}_{q^{2}} \geq 3 \mathbf{M}_{q}$ and get

$$
\mathbf{R}_{q^{2}}=\mathbf{I}_{q^{2}} / \mathbf{M}_{q^{2}} \leq\left(\mathbf{I}_{q} / 3 \mathbf{M}_{q}\right)+2=\mathbf{R}_{q} / 3+2
$$

## Extension field inversions

Degree- $\ell$ extension:

- generalization of Itoh-Tsujii inversion,
- standard way to compute inverses in optimal extension fields,
- assume $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^{\ell}=\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$
- with $v=\left(q^{\ell}-1\right) /(q-1)=q^{\ell-1}+\cdots+q+1$, compute

$$
\beta^{-1}=\beta^{v-1} \cdot \beta^{-v}
$$

- $\operatorname{for} \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell}}, \beta^{v}=N(\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

$$
\mathbf{R}_{q^{\ell}} \leq \mathbf{R}_{q} / M(\ell)+C(\ell)
$$

| $\ell$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / M(\ell)$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 9$ | $1 / 13$ | $1 / 17$ | $1 / 22$ |
| $C(\ell)$ | 3.33 | 4.17 | 5.33 | 5.08 | 6.24 | 6.05 |

## Simultaneous inversions

Montgomery's $n$-th trick...

- Idea: To invert $a$ and $b$, compute $a b$, then $(a b)^{-1}$ and

$$
a^{-1}=b \cdot(a b)^{-1}, \quad b^{-1}=a \cdot(a b)^{-1}
$$

replace $2 \mathbf{I}$ by $1 \mathbf{I}+3 \mathbf{M}$.

- In general for $s$ inversions at once: compute $c_{i}=a_{1} \cdots a_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$, then $c_{s}^{-1}$ and

$$
c_{s-1}^{-1}=c_{s}^{-1} a_{s}, \quad a_{s-1}^{-1}=c_{s-2} c_{s-1}^{-1}, \quad \ldots
$$

replace $s \mathbf{I}$ by $\mathbf{1 I}+3(s-1) \mathbf{M}$.

- Average $\mathbf{I} / \mathbf{M}$ is

$$
(s \mathbf{I}) /(s \mathbf{M})=\mathbf{I} /(s \mathbf{M})+3(s-1) / s \leq \mathbf{R} / s+3
$$

## Affine coordinates for pairings

Affine coordinates can be better than projective

- if the used implementation has small $\mathbf{I} / \mathbf{M}$,
- for ate pairings on curves with larger embedding degree, i.e. at high security levels (the ate pairing needs arithmetic in $E^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{k / d}}\right), \mathbf{I} / \mathbf{M}$ gets smaller in larger extensions),
- when high-degree twists are not being used (s.t. $k / d$ is large),
- for computing several pairings (or products of several pairings) at once on independent point pairs.


## Pairings based on Microsoft's bignum

## optimal ate pairing on a 256 -bit BN curve

Use MS bignum for

- base field arithmetic $\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ with Montgomery multiplication,
- 256-bit integers are split into 4 pieces of 64 bits,
- extension fields based on MS bignum field extensions, with inversions based on norm trick.
MS bignum + pairings
- is a C implementation (w/ little bit of assembly for mod mul on AMD64),
- not restricted to specific security level, curves, or processors,
- works under 32-bit and 64-bit Windows.


## Pairings based on Microsoft's bignum

## field arithmetic performance

Fields over 256-bit BN prime field with

- $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, i.e. $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}=\mathbb{F}_{p}(i), i^{2}=-1$.

Timings on a 3.16 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo E8500, 64-bit Windows 7

|  | M |  | $\mathbf{S}$ |  | $\mathbf{I}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | cyc | $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | cyc | $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | cyc | $\mu \mathrm{s}$ |  |
| $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | 414 | 0.13 | 414 | 0.13 | 9469 | 2.98 | 22.87 |
| $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | 2122 | 0.67 | 1328 | 0.42 | 11426 | 3.65 | 5.38 |
| $\mathbb{F}_{p^{6}}$ | 18544 | 5.81 | 12929 | 4.05 | 40201 | 12.66 | 2.17 |
| $\mathbb{F}_{p^{12}}$ | 60967 | 19.17 | 43081 | 13.57 | 103659 | 32.88 | 1.70 |

## Pairings based on Microsoft's bignum

Pairings on a 256 -bit BN curve with

- sparse parameter $u$ (HW 7), sparse $6 u+2$ (HW 8).

Timings on a 3.16 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo E8500, 64-bit Windows 7

| operation | CPU cycles | time |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Miller loop | $7,572,000$ | 2.36 ms |
| optimal ate pairing | $14,838,000$ | 4.64 ms |
| 20 opt. ate at once | $14,443,000$ | 4.53 ms |
| product of 20 opt. ate | $4,833,000$ | 1.52 ms |
| EC scalar mult in $G_{1}$ | $2,071,000$ | 0.64 ms |
| EC scalar mult in $G_{2}$ | $8,761,000$ | 2.74 ms |
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