# Pairings I

#### Michael Naehrig

Eindhoven Institute for the Protection of Systems and Information Technische Universiteit Eindhoven michael@cryptojedi.org



#### ECC Summer School 2008, Eindhoven 18 September 2008

# What is a pairing?

A pairing is a non-degenerate, bilinear map

 $e: G_1 \times G_2 \to G_3,$ 

where  $G_1, G_2$  are abelian groups written additively and  $G_3$  is a multiplicative abelian group.

- Non-degenerate: for all 0 ≠ P ∈ G<sub>1</sub> there is a Q ∈ G<sub>2</sub> s.t. e(P,Q) ≠ 1, for all 0 ≠ Q ∈ G<sub>2</sub> there is a P ∈ G<sub>1</sub> s.t. e(P,Q) ≠ 1.
- ▶ Bilinear: for  $P_1, P_2 \in G_1; Q_1, Q_2 \in G_2$  we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e(P_1+P_2,Q_1) &=& e(P_1,Q_1)e(P_2,Q_1),\\ e(P_1,Q_1+Q_2) &=& e(P_1,Q_1)e(P_1,Q_2). \end{array}$$

It follows:  $e([a]P, [b]Q) = e(P, Q)^{ab} = e([b]P, [a]Q)$ .

### What can be done with pairings?

Pairings on elliptic curves can be used,

- as a means to attack DL-based cryptography on groups of points on elliptic curves,
- or to construct crypto systems with certain special properties:
  - One-round tripartite key agreement,
  - Identity-based key agreement,
  - Identity-based encryption (IBE),
  - Hierarchical IBE (HIDE),
  - Short signatures (BLS).
  - much more ...

# Elliptic curves

Let p > 3 be a prime,  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the finite field with p elements and

$$E: Y^2 = X^3 + AX + B$$

an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

• For a field extension  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \supseteq L \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$  let

$$E(L) = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B\} \cup \{P_\infty\}$$

the group of L-rational points on E.

Let n = #E(𝔽<sub>p</sub>) be the number of 𝔽<sub>p</sub>-rational points. We have

$$n = p + 1 - t, \quad |t| \le 2\sqrt{p},$$

where t is the trace of Frobenius.

### **Torsion points**

Let m be a non-negative integer. The set of m-torsion points

$$E[m] = \{ P \in E = E(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) \mid [m]P = P_{\infty} \}$$

is a subgroup of E.

We denote by

$$E[m](L) = \{P \in E(L) \mid [m]P = P_{\infty}\}$$

the group of *L*-rational *m*-torsion points.

 $\blacktriangleright \ \text{If} \ p \nmid m \ \text{we have}$ 

$$E[m] \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times Z/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

#### The embedding degree

Let  $r \neq p$  be a large prime dividing  $n = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The embedding degree of E with respect to r is the smallest integer k s.t.

$$r \mid p^k - 1.$$

► This is equivalent to r | Φ<sub>k</sub>(p), where Φ<sub>k</sub> is the k-th cyclotomic polynomial. This follows from

$$X^{k} - 1 = \prod_{d|k} \Phi_{d}(X) = \Phi_{k}(X) \cdot \prod_{d|k, d \neq k} \Phi_{d}(X).$$

### The embedding degree

• The embedding degree k is the order of p modulo r. Therefore

$$k \mid r-1.$$

- For k > 1 the field 𝔽<sub>p<sup>k</sup></sub> is the smallest extension of 𝔽<sub>p</sub> which contains the group μ<sub>r</sub> of r-th roots of unity,
- ▶ and for which  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$  contains all *r*-torsion points, i.e.

$$E[r] \subseteq E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}).$$

For crypto-sized curve E and prime divisor r the embedding degree is usually very large.

# The Weil pairing

The Weil pairing is a map

$$e_r : E[r] \times E[r] \to \mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$
  
(P,Q)  $\mapsto f_{r,P}(D_Q)/f_{r,Q}(D_P),$ 

- ▶ where D<sub>P</sub> ~ (P) (P<sub>∞</sub>) and D<sub>Q</sub> ~ (Q) (P<sub>∞</sub>) are divisors with disjoint support,
- $f_{r,P}$  and  $f_{r,Q}$  are functions on the curve with divisors

$$(f_{r,P}) = rD_P = r(P) - r(P_{\infty}),$$
  
 $(f_{r,Q}) = rD_Q = r(Q) - r(P_{\infty}).$ 

# The Weil pairing

The Weil pairing is a map

$$e_r: E[r] \times E[r] \to \mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^k},$$
  
(P,Q)  $\mapsto f_{r,P}(D_Q)/f_{r,Q}(D_P),$ 

For a divisor  $D = \sum_{P \in E} n_P(P)$  and a function  $f \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$ , we can evaluate f at D by

$$f(D) = \prod_{P \in E} f(P)^{n_p}.$$

► The Weil pairing is bilinear, non-degenerate and alternating (i.e. e<sub>r</sub>(P, P) = 1).

#### The MOV-FR attack

Theorem: Let  $P \in E[r](\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then there exists a point  $Q \in E[r]$  s.t.  $e_r(P,Q)$  is a primitive *r*-th root of unity, i.e. a generator of  $\mu_r$ .

 Let P, Q be the points from the theorem. Then the map

$$f: \langle P \rangle \to \mu_r, \ R \mapsto e_r(R,Q)$$

is a group isomorphism.

▶ The map *f* 'reduces' the DLP on  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)[r]$  to the DLP in  $\mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$ : If R = [m]P then

$$e_r(R,Q) = e_r([m]P,Q) = e_r(P,Q)^m.$$

#### The MOV-FR attack

$$R = [m]P$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$e_r(R,Q) = e_r([m]P,Q) = e_r(P,Q)^m.$$

- One can find m by solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$ .
- This attack is only useful, if we can compute the Weil pairing efficiently,
- ▶ and if the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*$  is easier than the DLP in  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

# The Tate pairing

The Tate pairing is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r &: E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \times E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) &\to \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*/(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*)^r, \\ (P, Q) &\mapsto f_{r, P}(D_Q). \end{aligned}$$

- ► The divisor D<sub>Q</sub> is equivalent to the divisor (Q) (P<sub>∞</sub>) and its support is disjoint from the support of (f<sub>r,P</sub>) = r(P) r(P<sub>∞</sub>).
- ► The result must be interpreted as representing a class in F<sup>\*</sup><sub>p<sup>k</sup></sub>/(F<sup>\*</sup><sub>p<sup>k</sup></sub>)<sup>r</sup>.
- Q is a representative of a class in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ .

### The reduced Tate pairing

The reduced Tate pairing is a map

$$t_r : E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \times E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \to \mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$
  
(P,Q)  $\mapsto f_{r,P}(Q)^{\frac{p^k-1}{r}}.$ 

- For the first group we restrict to  $E[r](\mathbb{F}_p)$ .
- If  $r^2 \nmid n$  we may represent  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$  by  $E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ .
- For k > 1 we may replace  $D_Q$  by Q itself.
- ▶ Note that for k > 1 and  $P \in E[r](\mathbb{F}_p)$  we have  $t_r(P, P) = 1$ .

#### The reduced Tate pairing

The reduced Tate pairing is a map

$$t_r : E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \times E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \to \mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$
  
$$(P,Q) \mapsto f_{r,P}(Q)^{\frac{p^k-1}{r}}$$

- We obtain a unique pairing value in  $\mu_r$  by raising  $f_{r,P}(Q)$  to the power of  $\frac{p^k-1}{r}$ .
- ► This so called final exponentiation is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*/(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*)^r \to \mu_r.$

#### Miller functions

To compute pairings we need to know the functions  $f_{r,P}$  with divisor  $r(P) - r(P_{\infty})$ .

▶ Let  $f_{i,P}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a function on *E* which has a divisor

$$(f_{i,P}) = i(P) - ([i]P) - (i-1)(P_{\infty}).$$

 $f_{i,P}$  is called a Miller function.

• The special case i = r leads to

$$(f_{r,P}) = r(P) - ([r]P) - (r-1)(P_{\infty}) = r(P) - r(P_{\infty}),$$
 since  $[r]P = P_{\infty}.$ 

### Miller's formula

Can we compute *f*<sub>i+j,P</sub> from *f*<sub>i,P</sub> and *f*<sub>j,P</sub>?
▶ Compute the divisor of the product

$$(f_{i,P}f_{j,P}) = i(P) - ([i]P) - (i - 1)(P_{\infty}) + j(P) - ([j]P) - (j - 1)(P_{\infty}) = (i + j)(P) - ([i]P) - ([j]P) - (i + j - 2)(P_{\infty}) = (i + j)(P) - ([i + j]P) - (i + j - 1)(P_{\infty}) + ([i + j]P) - ([i]P) - ([j]P) + (P_{\infty}) = (f_{i+j,P}) + ([i + j]P) - ([i]P) - ([j]P) + (P_{\infty})$$

• The sum of the divisors is 'almost' the divisor of  $f_{i+j,P}$ .

## Miller's formula

Now have a look at the lines occuring in the addition [i]P + [j]P = [i + j]P.

► The first line *l* goes through [*i*]*P*, [*j*]*P* and -[*i*+*j*]*P*, it has the divisor

$$(l) = ([i]P) + ([j]P) + (-[i+j]P) - 3(P_{\infty}).$$

► The second line v is a vertical line through [i + j]P and -[i + j]P with

$$(v) = ([i+j]P) + (-[i+j]P) - 2(P_{\infty}).$$

Compute

$$(l) - (v) = ([i]P) + ([j]P) - ([i+j]P) - (P_{\infty}).$$

### Miller's formula

#### Remember

$$(f_{i,P}f_{j,P}) = (f_{i+j,P}) + ([i+j]P) - ([i]P) - ([j]P) + (P_{\infty})$$

and

$$(l) - (v) = ([i]P) + ([j]P) - ([i+j]P) - (P_{\infty}).$$

We get an equation of divisors

$$(f_{i+j,P}) = (f_{i,P}f_{j,P}) + (l) - (v).$$

For the functions we get Miller's formula

$$f_{i+j,P} = f_{i,P} f_{j,P} \cdot l/v.$$

We can choose normalized functions, i.e.  $f_{1,P} = 1$ .

# Computing pairings (Miller's algorithm)

We can use the special cases i = j and j = 1 to compute the function  $f_{r,P}$  in a square-&-multiply-like manner.

Square step:

$$f_{2i,P} = f_{i,P}^2 \cdot l_{[i]P,[i]P} / v_{[2i]P}.$$

Multiply step:

$$f_{i+1,P} = f_{i,P} f_{1,P} \cdot l_{[i]P,P} / v_{[i+1]P}.$$

► l<sub>R,S</sub>: line through R and S, tangent if R = S, v<sub>R</sub>: vertical line through R.

# Computing pairings (Miller's algorithm)

# Computing pairings (Miller's algorithm)

For Miller's algorithm we need arithmetic in  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ .

- ► If *k* is too large, we can't compute pairings this way.
- ► We need special curves with small k to be able to compute in F<sub>pk</sub>.
- See tomorrow's talk for methods how to find such curves.

#### Tripartite key agreement

Tanja, Dan and Nigel would like to share a common secret key.

- They each choose a secret  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_r$  resp.
- ► They compute *aP*, *bP*, *cP* resp. and send it to the other two.



# Tripartite key agreement



Using a pairing e the three can compute a common secret key using their secrets:

$$e(aP, bP)^{c} = e(bP, cP)^{a} = e(aP, cP)^{b} = e(P, P)^{abc}$$

Only one round of communication is needed.

# Symmetric Pairings

If k > 1 we can use the reduced Tate pairing on supersingular curves to construct a symmetric pairing

$$e: E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \times E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$

s.t.  $e(P, P) \neq 1$ .

- Supersingular elliptic curves have  $k \le 6$ .
- Supersingular elliptic curves have distortion maps.
- ▶ A distortion map is an endomorphism  $\phi$  of E for which  $\phi(P) \notin E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . If  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$  has no points of order  $r^2$  then

$$e(P,P) := t_r(P,\phi(P)) \neq 1.$$

# **BLS** signatures

Using pairings it is possible to define a signature scheme with very short signatures.

System parameters are the pairing

$$e: \langle P \rangle \times \langle Q \rangle \quad \to \quad \mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$

points  $P \in E[r](\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $Q \in E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$  s.t.  $e(P,Q) \neq 1$ and a hash function

$$H: \{0,1\}^* \to E[r](\mathbb{F}_p).$$

# **BLS** signatures

- ▶ To sign messages, Tanja chooses a private key  $x_T \in \mathbb{Z}_r$  and publishes her public key  $Q_T = [x_T]Q$ .
- ▶ She signs the message  $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$  by computing  $H(M) \in E[r](\mathbb{F}_p)$  and the signature

$$\sigma = [x_T]H(M).$$

> To verify, anyone may take  $Q_T$  and check if

$$e(\sigma, Q) = e(H(M), Q_T).$$

• 
$$e(\sigma, Q) = e([x_T]H(M), Q) = e(H(M), [x_T]Q) = e(H(M), Q_T).$$

# **BLS** signatures

- ► The signature σ is just one point in E[r](𝔽<sub>p</sub>), so can be represented by 2 𝔽<sub>p</sub>-elements.
- ► Compare this to the signatures from Tanja's 1st talk. There the signature was (*R*, *S*), where

$$R = [k]P, \ S = s_s m + kH([k]P) \mod r.$$

- > This is 1 element of size r larger.
- If we represent points in E(F<sub>p</sub>) by their x-coordinate only, this might be about half the size of the whole signature.

#### The Tate pairing is a bit slow...



# Reducing the loop length - variants of the Tate pairing

It is possible to reduce the loop length in Miller's algorithm significantly and still get a pairing.

Ate pairing:

ate : 
$$E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k}) \times E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$
  
 $(Q, P) \mapsto f_{T,Q}(P)^{\frac{p^k-1}{r}}.$ 

Here T = t - 1 where t is the trace of Frobenius, i.e. the number of bits in T is about half that of r.

# Reducing the loop length - variants of the Tate pairing

Twisted ate pairing: If E has a twist E' of degree d, we get a pairing

eta: 
$$E[r](\mathbb{F}_p) \times E'[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^{k/d}}) \longrightarrow \mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k}^*,$$
  
 $(P,Q') \mapsto f_{T^e,P}(\phi(Q'))^{\frac{p^k-1}{r}}$ 

We have T = t - 1 and  $T^e \equiv \zeta_m \mod r$ , e = k/m,  $m = \gcd(k, d)$ .  $\phi : E'[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^{k/d}}) \to E[r](\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ .

# Reducing the loop length - variants of the Tate pairing

- There are other choices for the loop variable which even give shorter loops depending on the type of curves one is using.
- Shortest loops right now are of length 1/φ(k) times the length of r. Corresponding pairings are called optimal pairings.

#### For more information we refer to

